

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/612,418	07/07/2000	Raymond P. Johnston	54971USA3A.006	8574.		
32692 7	590 04/13/2006		EXAM	INER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			MORAN, MA	MORAN, MARJORIE A		
	, N 55133-3427	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
51111102, 1111			1631			

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/612,418	JOHNSTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marjorie A. Moran	1631		

	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2001120
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ine issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendent(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,13,39-41,43-46,49,50,53,54,60,61.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	72-75,77 and 81-85.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: PTO Form 892 attached. 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(s)	
	Mayora C	Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631	
		/ 41 Offic. 100 I	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: AS set forth above, the arguments and JOHNSTON declaration have overcome the prior art of TSO, therefore the rejection under 35 USC 103 is hereby withdrawn. However, the examiner maintains that the originally filed disclosure does not provide support for the negative limitation "without any aid from any structures additional to the channels." In response to applicant's arguments, it is noted that while the Figures do exemplify such channels without "additional structures", this is merely support that one embodiment does not REQUIRE additional structures, not that such structures are absolutely prohibited. As there is no specific support for the new limitation, the rejection is maintained.